## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Richmond Division

JOHN K. GOODROW,

Plaintiff,

v. Civil Action No. 3:11ev20

FRIEDMAN & MACFADYEN, P.A., et al.,

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A FIFTY-PAGE BRIEF FOR THEIR OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED COMPLAINTS

COME NOW the Plaintiffs, by counsel, and in support of their Motion for Leave to File a Fifty-Page Brief for Their Opposition to Defendants' Consolidated Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaints, they state as follows:

On February 21, 2013, this Court entered an Order (Docket No. 129) granting, in part, the Defendants' Motion for Enlargement of Permissible Number of Pages for Their Motion to Dismiss and allowing them to file one fifty-page brief responsive to all of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaints. The Court directed Plaintiffs to file their opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss no later than March 14, 2013. By Order dated March 18, 2013 (Docket No. 136), Plaintiffs were given an enlargement of time in which to file one consolidated response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss until today, March 22, 2013. However, both Orders were silent as to the page limit for Plaintiffs' opposition brief. Therefore, Plaintiffs request leave to file an equivalent fifty-page brief in opposition to the Defendants' Consolidated Motion to Dismiss and Brief in Support (Docket No. 133).

The Defendants required fifty pages to present their legal arguments as to why they

believe Plaintiffs' Amended Complaints should be dismissed. In their Consolidated Motion to

Dismiss, the Defendants seek to dismiss of each of the Plaintiffs' claims, ultimately disposing of

each Plaintiff's entire case against them. If Plaintiffs are limited to an opposition of only thirty

pages, they will be unable to adequately and fully respond to the Defendants' arguments. Thus,

the Plaintiffs would be at a severe disadvantage in defending the Defendants' attack of their

Amended Complaints.

The Defendants will suffer no legal prejudice as a result of an enlargement to an

equivalent amount of pages for Plaintiffs' to respond to the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, but

the Plaintiffs would be prejudiced by the inability to fully present counter arguments if their

Motion was denied. Therefore, granting this Motion and allowing the Plaintiffs a comparable

page limit in which to respond to the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is in the interest of justice.

For good cause shown, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court grant their Motion

for Leave to File a Fifty-Page Brief for their Opposition to Defendants' Consolidated Motion to

Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaints and allow them to file the fifty-page opposition brief

attached to their Motion.

Respectfully submitted,

**PLAINTIFFS** 

Of Counsel

Leonard A. Bennett, VSB No. 37523

Susan M. Rotkis, VSB No. 40693

Counsel for the Plaintiff

CONSUMER LITIGATION ASSOCIATES, P.C.

763 J. Clyde Morris Blvd., Suite 1-A

Newport News, VA 23601

Tel:

(757) 930-3660

Fax:

(757) 930-3662

lenbennett@clalegal.com

2

## srotkis@clalegal.com

Janelle E. Mason, VSB No. 82389
Matthew J. Erausquin, VSB No. 65434

Counsel for the Plaintiff
CONSUMER LITIGATION ASSOCIATES, P.C.
1800 Diagonal Road, Suite 600
Alexandria, VA 22314
Telia (702) 272, 7770

Tel: (703) 273-7770 Fax: (888) 892-3512 janelle@clalegal.com matt@clalegal.com

Dale W. Pittman, VSB No. 15673

Counsel for the Plaintiff

THE LAW OFFICE OF DALE W. PITTMAN, P.C.

The Eliza Spotswood House
112-A West Tabb Street

Petersburg, VA 23803

Tel: (804) 861-6000

Fax: (804) 861-3368

dale@pittmanlawoffice.com

Kristi Cahoon Kelly, VSB No. 72791

Counsel for the Plaintiff

SUROVELL, ISAACS, PETERSEN & LEVY, PLC

4010 University Drive, Suite 200 Fairfax, VA 22030

Tel: (703) 277-9774 Fax: (703) 591-9285 kkelly@siplfirm.com

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 22nd day of March, 2013, I have filed the foregoing electronically using the CM/ECF system, which will then send a notification of such filing (NEF) to the following:

Douglas P. Rucker, Jr.
Andrew Biondi
Cullen D. Seltzer
SANDS ANDERSON PC
2300 Bank of America Center
1111 East Main Street (23219)
P. O. Box 1998
Richmond, V A 23218-1998
(804) 648-1636
(804) 783-7291 (facsimile)
drucker@sandsanderson.com
abiondi@sandsanderson.com
cseltzer@sandsanderson.com

Counsel for the Defendants

/s/

Leonard A. Bennett, VSB No. 37523

Counsel for the Plaintiff

CONSUMER LITIGATION ASSOCIATES, P.C.
763 J. Clyde Morris Blvd., Suite 1-A

Newport News, VA 23601

Tel: (757) 930-3660 Fax: (757) 930-3662 lenbennett@clalegal.com